

# Environmental Impact Assessment [version 1.0]

Proposal title: Goram Homes - Pipeline of Housing Development Sites

Project stage and type:   Initial Idea Mandate	Outline Business Case	Full Business Case	
□ Policy □ Strategy □ Function □ Service	🗆 New	Changing	
Other [please state]	🛛 Already exists / review		
Directorate: Growth and Regeneration	Lead Officer name: Louise Davidson		
Service Area: Housing Delivery Service	Lead Officer role: Head of Housing Delivery		

### Step 1: What do we want to do?

The purpose of this Environmental Impact Assessment is to help you develop your proposal in a way that is compliant with the council's policies and supports the council's strategic objectives under the <u>One City Climate</u> <u>Strategy</u>, the <u>One City Ecological Emergency Strategy</u> and the latest <u>Corporate Strategy</u>.

This assessment should be started at the beginning of the project proposal process by someone with a good knowledge of the project, the service area that will deliver it, and sufficient influence over the proposal to make changes as needed.

It is good practice to take a team approach to completing the Environmental Impact Assessment. See further guidance on completing this document. Please email <u>environmental.performance@bristol.gov.uk</u> early for advice and feedback.

### 1.1 What are the aims and objectives/purpose of this proposal?

Briefly explain the purpose of the proposal and why it is needed. Please use <u>plain English</u>, avoiding jargon and acronyms.

- 1. To provide an update on the pipeline of housing development sites approved by Cabinet in September 2018, March 2021, 2022, and 2023 for allocation to Goram Homes, the Council's wholly owned housing company.
- 2. To approve the addition of Dovercourt Road Gas Works to the Goram Homes pipeline.

### 1.2 Will the proposal have an environmental impact?

Could the proposal have either a positive or negative effects for the environment now or in the future? If 'No' explain why you are sure there will be no environmental impact, then skip steps 2-3 and request review by sending this form to environmental.performance@bristol.gov.uk

If 'Yes' complete the rest of this assessment.

🛛 Yes	🖾 No

There will be a number of significant environmental impacts from the redevelopment of the sites, but this is outside of the scope of this report. The sustainability of projects proposed for each of the sites will be assessed through the planning process.

New sites that are being added to the pipeline have been previously assessed – however it should be noted that during further planning consideration will need to be made to the new Local Plan.

There are no direct significant impacts from this proposal, and no mitigation measures are therefore required. The net effects of the proposals are neutral.

# **1.3** If the proposal is part of an options appraisal, has the environmental impact of each option been assessed and included in the recommendation-making process?

If 'Yes' please ensure that the details of the environmental impacts of each option are made clear in the pros and cons section of the <u>project management options appraisal document</u>.

🗌 Yes	🖾 No	🛛 Not applicable	[please select]
-------	------	------------------	-----------------

If 'No' explain why environmental impacts have not been considered as part of the options appraisal process.

### Step 2: What kinds of environmental impacts might the project have?

Analysis of impacts must be rigorous. Please demonstrate your analysis of any impacts of the proposal in this section, referring to evidence you have gathered. See detailed <u>guidance documents</u> for advice on identifying potential impacts.

#### Does the proposal create any benefits for the environment, or have any adverse impacts?

Outline any potential benefits of the proposal and how they can be maximised. Identify how the proposal will support our corporate environmental objectives and the wider <u>One City Climate and Ecological Emergency</u> <u>strategies</u>.

Consider how the proposal creates environmental impacts in the following categories, both now and in the future. **Reasonable efforts should be made to quantify stated benefit or adverse impacts wherever possible.** 

Where the proposal is likely to have a beneficial impact, consider what actions would enhance those impacts. Where the proposal is likely to have a harmful impact, consider whether actions would mitigate these impacts.

Enhancements or mitigation actions are only required when there is a likely impact identified. Remember that where enhancements or mitigation actions are listed, they should be assigned to staff and appropriately resourced.

GENERAL COMMENTS (highlig	<b>ENERAL COMMENTS</b> (highlight any potential issues that might impact all or many categories)		
ENV1 Carbon neutral:			
Emissions of climate			
changing gases			
BCC has committed to achieving net zero emissions for its direct activities by 2025, and to support the city	Benefits		
in achieving net zero by			
2030.			
Will the proposal involve	Enhancing		
transport, or the use of	actions		
energy in buildings? Will the			
proposal involve the			
purchase of goods or			

services? If the answer is yes	Persistence	of effects:	□ 1 year or less	🗌 1 – 5 years	🗆 5+ years
to either of these questions, there will be a carbon impact. Consider the scale and	Adverse impacts				
timeframe of the impact,					
particularly if the proposal will lead to ongoing emissions beyond the 2025 and 2030 target dates. Further guidance No impact	Mitigating actions				
•	Persistence	of effects:	□ 1 year or less	□ 1 – 5 years	□ 5+ years
			,	- ,	
ENV2 Ecological recovery: Wildlife and habitats BCC has committed to 30% of its land being managed for nature and to halve its use of pesticides by 2030.	Benefits				
Consider how your proposal can support increased space for nature, reduced use of pesticides, reduce pollution to waterways, and reduce	Enhancing actions				
consumption of products	Persistence	of effects:	1 year or less	🗌 1 – 5 years	5+ years
that undermine ecosystems around the world. If your proposal will directly lead to a reduction in habitat within Bristol, then consider how your proposed	Adverse impacts				
mitigation can lead to a biodiversity net gain. Be sure to refer to quantifiable changes wherever possible.	Mitigating actions				
└─ No impact	Persistence	of effects:	🗆 1 year or less	🗆 1 – 5 years	🗆 5+ years
ENV3 A cleaner, low-waste city: Consumption of resources and generation of waste	Benefits				
Consider what resources will be used as a result of the proposal, how they can be	Enhancing actions				

minimised or swapped for	Persistence of	of effects:	$\Box$ 1 year or less	🗌 1 – 5 years	□ 5+ years
less impactful ones, where					
they will be sourced from,					
and what will happen to any	Adverse				
waste generated	impacts				
	Mitigating				
	actions				
Further guidance					
🗌 No impact	Persistence of	of effects:	$\Box$ 1 year or less	🗌 1 – 5 years	5+ years
ENV4 Climate resilience:					
Bristol's resilience to the	Benefits				
effects of climate change	Benefits				
Bristol's climate is already					
changing, and increasingly					
frequent instances of					
extreme weather will	Enhancing				
become more likely over	actions				
time.					
Consider how the proposal	Persistence	of effects:	□ 1 year or less	🗌 1 – 5 years	□ 5+ years
will perform during periods					
of extreme weather					
(particularly heat and	Adverse				
flooding).	impacts				
Consider if the proposal will					
reduce or increase risk to					
people and assets during					
extreme weather events.					
	Mitigating				
Further guidance	actions				
□ No impact					
	Persistence	of effects:	□ 1 year or less	🗌 1 – 5 years	□ 5+ years
Statutory duty:	Benefits				
Prevention of Pollution to					
air, water, or land					
Consider how the many set	Enhancing actions				
Consider how the proposal will change the likelihood of	actions				
will change the likelihood of pollution occurring to air,					
	Persistence of	of effects:	1 year or less	🗌 1 – 5 years	🗌 5+ years

water, or land and what steps will be taken to prevent pollution occurring.	Adverse impacts				
Further guidance	Mitigating actions				
	Persistence of	of effects:	1 year or less	🗌 1 – 5 years	🗌 5+ years

## Step 3: Action Plan

Use this section summarise and assign responsibility for any actions you have identified to improve data, enhance beneficial, or mitigate negative impacts. Actions identified in section two can be grouped together if named responsibility is under the same person.

This action plan should be updated at each stage of the project. Please be aware that the Sustainable City and Climate Change Service may use this action plan as an audit checklist during the project's implementation or operation.

Enhancing / mitigating action required	Responsible Officer	Timescale

## Step 4: Review

The Sustainable City and Climate Change Service need at least five working days to comment and feedback on your impact assessment. Assessments should only be marked as reviewed when they provide sufficient information for decision-makers on the environmental impact of the proposal.

Please seek feedback and review by emailing <u>environmental.performance@bristol.gov.uk</u> before final submission of your decision pathway documentation<sup>1</sup>.

Where impacts identified in this assessment are deemed significant, they will be summarised here by the Sustainable City and Climate Change Service and must be included in the 'evidence base' section of the decision pathway cover sheet.

Summary of significant beneficial impacts and opportunities to support the Climate, Ecological and Corporate Strategies (ENV1,2,3,4):

Summary of significant adverse impacts and how they can be mitigated:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Review by the Sustainable City and Climate Change Service confirms there is sufficient analysis for decision makers to consider the likely environmental impacts at this stage. This is not an endorsement or approval of the proposal.

Environmental Performance Team Reviewer: Nicola Hares	Submitting author: Kate Bedney
Date:	Date:
12/12/2023	12/12/2023